Interview Summary	Application No		Applicant(s)	
	10/040,070		CHRISTENSON ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Retford Berko		1615	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Retford Berko</u> .	(3)			
(2) Paul W. Busse, Esq.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 10 March 2004.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed:				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant informed examiner of his decision to abandon the application without prejudice.</u> <u>Applicant further stated that pursuant to this phone interview, examiner could take the liberty to write up the file as abandoned application and submit to his supervisor for signature.</u>				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
				*
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Ē	xaminer's sign	ature, if required	d